

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,404	04/19/2004	Steven J. Holden	02-126-US2	4525	
34704 75	90 11/27/2006		EXAMINER		
	BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.			JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL	
900 CHAPEL S SUITE 1201	TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW HAVEN,	CT 06510	•	3726		
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/828,404	HOLDEN, STEVEN J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc Jimenez	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. Briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
	——· This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the mer	its is			
closed in accordance with the practice und						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and	8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	е			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention 6	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application				
. apor rio(3)rivan Date		<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/828,404

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A: claims 1-12

Species B: claims 13-15

Species C: claims 16-18

2. The species are independent or distinct because they are drawn to distinct methods of

compressor making. For example Species A has the step of positioning one or more spacer

elements and machining which appears to be distinct from Species B and C. Species B has the

step of applying a coating around a plurality of spacer elements protruding from a first housing

element which appears to distinct compared with Species A and C. Finally, Species C includes

the step of precompressing which appears to be distinct from the method of Species A and B.

In addition there are patentably distinct subspecies:

Subspecies I: compressing with the rotor

Subspecies II: compressing with a flat plate

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and

subspecies for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic

claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Jimenez whose telephone number is (571) 272-4530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3726

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 572-272-100%.

Marc Jimenez, Prim

Examine

Art Unit 3726

MJ

11-21-06